(a) A general acute care hospital shall adopt a policy for providing family or next of kin with a reasonably brief period of accommodation, as described in subdivision (b), from the time that a patient is declared dead by reason of irreversible cessation of all functions of the entire brain, including the brain stem, in accordance with Section 7180, through discontinuation of cardiopulmonary support for the patient. During this reasonably brief period of accommodation, a hospital is required to continue only previously ordered cardiopulmonary support. No other medical intervention is required.

(b) For purposes of this section, a "reasonably brief period" means an amount of time afforded to gather family or next of kin at the patient's bedside.

(c) (1) A hospital subject to this section shall provide the patient's legally recognized health care decisionmaker, if any, or the patient's family or next of kin, if available, with a written statement of the policy described in subdivision (a), upon request, but no later than shortly after the treating physician has determined that the potential for brain death is imminent.

(2) If the patient's legally recognized health care decisionmaker, family, or next of kin voices any special religious or cultural practices and concerns of the patient or the patient's family surrounding the issue of death by reason of irreversible cessation of all functions of the entire brain of the patient, the hospital shall make reasonable efforts to accommodate those religious and cultural practices and concerns.

(d) For purposes of this section, in determining what is reasonable, a hospital shall consider the needs of other patients and prospective patients in urgent need of care.

(e) There shall be no private right of action to sue pursuant to this section.
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SUBJECT: Hospitals: brain death.

SUMMARY: Requires a licensed hospital to adopt a plan and
procedure for providing family or next of kin of a patient with
a reasonable period of accommodation, as defined, in the event
the patient is declared brain dead. Specifically, this bill:

1) Requires a licensed general acute care hospital, psychiatric
hospital, or special hospital to adopt a plan and procedure
for providing family or next of kin with a reasonable period
of accommodation, as defined, in the event the patient is
declared dead by reason of irreversible cessation of all
functions of the entire brain, in accordance with existing
law.

2) Defines “reasonable period” as an amount of time afforded to
gather family or next of kin of that patient and make
arrangements for special religious or cultural ceremonies.

3) Requires a hospital subject to #1) above to provide a patient
upon admission with a written statement of the policy
developed pursuant to this bill.

EXISTING LAW:

1) Defines as dead an individual who has sustained either: a)
Irreversible cessation of circulatory and respiratory
functions; or, b) Irreversible cessation of all functions of
the entire brain, including the brain stem.

2) Requires independent confirmation by another physician when an
individual is pronounced dead pursuant to #1) b) above.

3) Requires a health facility to keep, maintain, and preserve
complete patient medical records when an individual is
pronounced dead pursuant to #1) above.

FISCAL EFFECT: Unknown

COMMENTS:

1) PURPOSE OF THIS BILL. According to the author, this bill is
needed because there is no statewide policy providing for a
reasonable amount of time to notify the family or next of kin
of a patient who would be removed from life support after
being declared brain dead. The author points to California
Medical Association (CMA) guidelines for physicians and
hospitals to follow once patients are determined to be brain
dead (see # 3) below). The author points out that the
process for notifying family members about the precise time of
the anticipated removal of life support varies among
California hospitals. The author contends that family or
close friends may not be provided with enough time by
hospitals and doctors to visit with the patient or to perform
any cultural or religious ceremonies for the patient before
the patient is removed from life support.

2) BACKGROUND. The term brain death is defined as “irreversible
unconsciousness with complete loss of brain function,”
including the brain stem, although the heartbeat may continue.
Demonstration of brain death is the accepted criterion for
establishing the fact and time of death. Factors in
diagnosing brain death include irreversible cessation of brain
function as demonstrated by fixed and dilated pupils, lack of
eye movement, absence of respiration (apnea), and
unresponsiveness to painful stimuli. In addition, there
should be evidence that the patient has experienced a disease
or injury that could cause brain death. A final determination
of brain death must involve demonstration of the total lack of
electrical activity in the brain by two electroencephalographs
(EEGs) taken between twelve and twenty-four hours apart.
Finally, the physician must rule out the possibilities of
hypothermia or drug toxicities, the symptoms of which may
mimic brain death. Some central nervous system functions such
as spinal reflexes that can result in movement of the limbs or
trunk may persist in brain death.

Until the late twentieth century, death was defined in terms
of loss of heart and lung functions, both of which are easily
observable criteria. However, with modern technology these
functions can be maintained even when the brain is dead,
although the patient’s recovery is hopeless, sometimes
resulting in undue financial and emotional stress to family
members. Brain death is not medically or legally equivalent
to severe vegetative state. In a severe vegetative state, the

cerebral cortex, the center of cognitive functions including
consciousness and intelligence, may be dead while the brain
stem, which controls basic life support functions such as
respiration, is still functioning. Death is equivalent to
brain stem death. The brain stem, which is less sensitive to
anoxia (loss of adequate oxygen) than the cerebrum, dies from
cessation of circulation for periods exceeding three to four
minutes or from intracranial catastrophe, such as a violent
injury.

CMA GUIDELINES . CMA’s Council on Ethical Affairs developed a
model policy and procedure related to death by neurological
criteria, entitled *Pronouncement of Death; Diagnosis of Death*
by *Neurological Criteria* (model policy). The stated purpose
of the model policy is to give guidance to physicians and
hospitals as they care for patients with brain injury or
disease that leads to death and for their loved ones. The
model policy calls for hospitals to have a comprehensive
management strategy when death has been diagnosed in these
cases, including specific criteria and procedures for the
determination of death, documentation of the determination,
and procedures following the determination, including
procedures related to any possible organ donation. The model
policy recommends early discussion with the family to prepare
them before the declaration of death and offering appropriate
emotional support, and psychological and spiritual counseling
if the family has problems understanding or accepting the
concept of death diagnosed as brain death. The model policy
also states that physicians and hospitals should inform family
members or next of kin that life support will be discontinued
at a specified time. The model policy also states that, at
the request of the family, and with physician agreement, life
support services may be continued for compelling social
reasons for a “reasonably brief” period of time after the
declaration of death. The model policy advises that during
the time of such accommodation the deceased and family should
be treated with respect and be given emotional and spiritual
support by hospital staff, and if they desire, by their own
clergy or spiritual advisor. In addition, the model policy
indicates that it may be appropriate during the accommodation
to offer ethics consultation to the family or another clinical
opinion by a physician of the family’s choice if the family is
having difficulty accepting or understanding the diagnosis.

OPPOSE UNLESS AMENDED . The California Hospital Association
(CHA) and the Alliance for Catholic Health Care West oppose
this bill and offer amendments to limit this bill and require
that hospitals adopt a policy related to brain death, inform
the patient’s legal representative of steps that will be taken
and provide the notice of the hospital’s policy only on
request from the patient’s legal representative. According to
CHA, member hospitals agree that family members must be
notified in the rare event of the brain death of their loved
one. CHA reports that all hospitals currently make every effort to compassionately relay this information when necessary. Unfortunately, CHA contends that it is not always possible for hospitals to afford every family as long as they might want to gather and make arrangements for special religious or cultural ceremonies while the deceased patient is still on life support in an intensive care unit or other specialty unit of the hospital. While hospitals make great efforts to accommodate family desires to view the body or be with the patient when life support is discontinued, CHA states this might not always be possible if a particular family member is, for example, on a different continent at the time of death.

5) COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS.

a) Plan required. This bill requires hospitals to develop a plan specifically related to providing family or next of kin with a reasonable period of accommodation in cases of brain death. The author may wish to more closely mirror the CMA ethical guidelines and require that hospitals develop and implement a comprehensive policy related to brain death diagnoses, which, as one element, would include provisions dealing with the needs of family from the time of diagnosis through the discontinuation of life support.

b) Notice requirement. This bill requires the hospital to provide every patient, upon admission, a written statement of the hospital's plan or procedure developed pursuant to this bill. The author may wish to consider amending this bill to require that the notice be provided to family members once a patient has reach the point of an imminent declaration of brain death.

REGISTERED SUPPORT / OPPOSITION:

Support

Opposition
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Oppose unless amended
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