

January 19, 2016

Dear Dr. Thaddeus Pope:

We compiled completed evaluations from the **2015 Fall Forum Conference** entitled “**Addressing Ethical Issues at the End of Life**”. The following is an evaluation summary of your presentation. The means are based on a scale of Poor (1) - Excellent (5).

Sessions: **Part 1: Goals of Care and POLST**
Part 2: Dementia and VSED
Part 3: Medical Futility
Part 4: Physician Aid in Dying

Evaluations:

Subject Knowledge Mean	4.78
Effectiveness of Presenter Mean	4.52
Engagement with the Audience	4.60

Comments:

- Similar responses regarding presenter’s subject knowledge: **Total Responses: 7**
 - Experienced and knowledgeable.
 - He was extremely knowledgeable.
 - Appreciate the research knowledge.
 - Very knowledgeable and easy to listen to—engaging.
 - His knowledge of ethical law was a very important piece to this forum.
 - Always have been in awe of such extreme human intelligence! Enjoyed his presentation.
 - He was a wealth of knowledge. I really enjoyed his presentation sections. They were very thought provoking.
- Similar responses regarding presenter’s legal perspectives: **Total Responses: 5**
 - Great to hear the legal side always.
 - Powerful presenter and so helpful to hear the legal side of things.
 - The sections that Thad presented always captured me. The perspective of the law was a wonderful addition to the day and provided a well-rounded approach.
 - It was so nice to get a legal perspective on these ethical situations and on advanced care planning in general. His information meshed very well with the other two presenters.
 - Another very good speaker. Well prepared, articulate, [and] brought the legal aspect into clear view. All three presenters represented their practice, and brought cases that were relevant and demonstrated the specific aspects of the session we were in.
- Similar responses regarding presenter’s presentation style: **Total Responses: 4**
 - Very good information. I was not a fan of his style of PowerPoint.
 - Slides were unusual! Size of fonts on slides wasted space (paper), especially for anyone who printed them.
 - I found his presentation to be spotty, rushed and absolutely frustrating. His PowerPoint slides required explanation that was never forthcoming, or required more details that he was too rushed to give. In my frustration I began timing when he'd start on a topic,

and 30 seconds later he would have skimmed through ten slides. Not kidding. I expected way more from someone whose biography far surpassed the time of the other two presenters, Dr. Sandler and Mr. Ceronsky.

- Wish he hadn't glossed over so many of his slides regarding case studies and situations, but the time allotted [to] him wasn't enough. Too much time spent by Dr. Sandler explaining POLST (which we all already knew about). We were looking for more time spent on complex situations and their resolution.
- Would like to hear from this presenter again **Total Responses: 3**
 - Would like to hear him as a presenter again.
 - Would love to hear more from him at the spring conference.
 - Very interesting and informative. Would like to hear this speaker again.
- Outstanding!
- Obviously on top of his game!
- Appreciated specific case references.
- I have heard Mr. Pope before and enjoy his contributions. Excellent.
- Very engaging. He seems to be a great advocate for our collective cause.
- Especially well-planned and presented considering the legal perspective of "it all depends on..."
- Very good at answering questions and explaining terminology.
- Appreciate that you opened discussion at the end of the presentation so we could get questions answered.

Thank you for your contribution to the success of the conference!

Best regards,



Renee Kumpula, EdD, RN, PHN
Director of Continuing Professional Development
Office: 612-625-7148
rkumpula@umn.edu