21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### GEARINGER LAW GROUP

740 FOURTH STREET SANTA ROSA, CALIFORNIA 95404 (415) 440-3102

Brian Gearinger (State Bar #146125) Charles A. Browning (State Bar #141950)

Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL POTTS, Successor in interest of Donna Marie Githens, deceased ELECTRONICALLY FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Sonoma
7/31/2020 11:57 AM
Arlene D. Junior, Clerk of the Court
By: Janie Dorman, Deputy Clerk

#### SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### COUNTY OF SONOMA

#### UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION

MICHAEL POTTS, successor in interest of Donna Marie Githens, deceased,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SAN RAFAEL OPERATING COMPANY LP, dba PINE RIDGE CARE CENTER, a California Limited Partnership, FERDINAND BUOT, JR., an individual, LINDA TAETZ, an individual, HENRY BRUMLEY, an individual, and DOES One through One Hundred Fifty, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. SCV-266808

VERIFIED COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF MICHAEL POTTS FOR DAMAGES AGAINST DEFENDANTS SAN RAFAEL OPERATING COMPANY, LP, dba PINE RIDGE CARE CENTER, FERDINAND BUOT, JR., LINDA TAETZ, HENRY BRUMLEY, AND ADAN MELIJOY

- 1. Violation of Uniform Health Care Decisions Act (Prob. Code, § 4742)
- 2. Violation of Elder Abuse and Dependent Adult Civil Protection Act (Welf. & Inst. Code § 15657)
- 3. Intentional Misrepresentation (Civ. Code, § 1710)
- 4. Fraudulent Concealment (Civ. Code, § 1710)
- 5. Breach of Fiduciary Duty
- 6. Negligence Per Se (Civ. Code, § 1714(a); Prob. Code, § 4742)

Plaintiff Michael Potts, successor in interest of Donna Marie Githens, deceased, complains against Defendants San Rafael Operating Company LP, dba Pine Ridge Care Center, a

California Limited Partnership, Ferdinand Buot, Jr., an individual, Linda Taetz, an individual, Harry Brumley, an individual, and Does One through Fifty, inclusive, and alleges in his Complaint for Damages ("Complaint") as follows:

#### THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Michael Potts, successor in interest of Donna Marie Githens, deceased, ("Plaintiff" or "Mr. Potts") brings this action on behalf of his deceased mother, Donna Marie Githens ("Ms. Githens" or "Decedent"). Mr. Potts is the successor in interest of Ms. Githens, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 377.11. Further, Mr. Potts brings this Complaint as surviving causes of action, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 377.30 and seeks all recoverable damages, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 377.34. Finally, Mr. Potts brings this Complaint following the death of Ms. Githens, pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code Section 15657.3. Concurrently with the filing of this Complaint, Mr. Potts is filing a "Declaration of Michael Potts as the Successor in Interest of Donna Marie Githens."
- 2. Defendant San Rafael Operating Company LP, dba Pine Ridge Care Center, ("Pine Ridge Care Center"), is a California Limited Partnership, which is headquartered at 45 Professional Center Parkway, San Rafael, California. At all relevant times, Pine Ridge Care Center owned and operated a Skilled Nursing Facility, as defined by Probate Code Section 4639, located at 45 Professional Center Parkway, San Rafael, California.
- 3. At all relevant times, Defendant Ferdinand Buot, Jr. ("Mr. Buot") was an officer and board member of Pine Ridge Care Center with a principal place of residence in Rohnert Park located in Sonoma County. Mr. Potts sues Mr. Buot individually and in his corporate capacity.
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant Linda Taetz (Ms. Taetz") was an officer and board member of Pine Ridge Care Center with a principal place of residence in Oxnard located in Ventura County. Mr. Potts sues Ms. Taetz individually and in her corporate capacity.
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant Henry Brumley ("Mr. Brumley") was a Nursing Home Administrator, licensed by the State of California, Department of Healthcare Services, and acting in this capacity for Pine Ridge Care Center with a principal place of residence in

Sacramento located in Sacramento County. Mr. Potts sues Mr. Brumley individually and in his corporate capacity.

- 6. At all relevant times, Defendant Adan Melijoy ("Mr. Melijoy") was the Director of Nursing for Pine Ridge Care Center with a principal place of residence in San Rafael located in Marin County. Mr. Potts sues Mr. Belijoy individually and in his corporate capacity.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and alleges that, at all relevant times, Does 1 through 50, inclusive, were and are now physicians, nurses, medical personnel or other health care professionals, duly licensed to practice their profession, or engaged in the practice of their profession, in the State of California and were employees, agents, servants, and/or affiliated with the other Defendants, and each of them. At all relevant time, Does 1 through 50 were acting within the course and scope of their employment, agency, service, and/or affiliation with each of the remaining Defendants.
- 8. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and alleges that, at all relevant times, Does 51 through 100, inclusive, were and now are corporations, partnerships, sole proprietorships, joint ventures, unincorporated associations or some other business entities doing business in the State of California and duly organized and existing under, and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, each of which in some way contracted to provide, and/or in some other manner provided, medical care and treatment or ancillary services to the general public, including Ms. Githens.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and alleges that, at all relevant times, Does 101 through 125, inclusive, were and now are corporations, partnerships, sole proprietorships, joint ventures, unincorporated associations or some other business entities doing business in the State of California and duly organized and existing under, and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, each of which in some way contracted to provide, and/or in some other manner provided, non-medical care and treatment or ancillary services to the general public, including Ms. Githens.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and alleges that, at all relevant times, Does 126 through 150, inclusive, are individuals who agreed to perform and undertook to perform for Ms. Githens all VERIFIED COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF MICHAEL POTTS 3 SONOMA SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. FOR DAMAGES AGAINST DEFENDANTS

non-medical services necessary to the care of Ms. Githens, which included, but were not limited to, observation, attention, examination, evaluation, diagnosis, care and treatment of the Ms. Githens, as well as proper administrative and clerical management of her health care and custodial care needs. In so doing, Does 126 through 150, inclusive, established a relationship with Ms. Githens, giving rise to the duty of Does 126 through 150, inclusive, to provide skillful management of the health conditions and custodial, clerical and administrative needs of Ms. Githens and were and are the owners, operators, and managers of the remaining Defendants and participated in, authorized, and/or directed the conduct of such Defendants, and their respective employees, agents, servants and/or affiliates. Does 126 through 150, inclusive, are therefore liable for the acts and omissions of the remaining Defendants, their employees, agents, servants and/or affiliates, as is more fully herein alleged.

- 11. The true names or capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of DOES 1 through 150, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend this complaint to show their true names or capacities when the same have been ascertained.
- 12. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and alleges that at all times herein mentioned, Defendants, and each of them, were the agents, servants, employees, joint venturers, ostensible agents and/or contractors of each of the remaining Defendants, and were, at all times, acting within the course and scope of such agency, service, employment, contract, and/or joint venture. Plaintiff is informed and believes and alleges that at all times herein, each of the Defendants, hired and employed agents, servants, staff members, employees, and/or joint venturers. Each Defendant has also given prior approval and subsequent ratification for the conduct, acts, and/or omissions of the other Defendants, and each of them.
- 13. At all times herein, Defendants, and each of them, operated, managed, maintained, oversaw and controlled the activities of all co-Defendants, and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive, and each of them, so that the conduct, acts, and omissions of each co-Defendant and DOES 1-150, inclusive, and each of them, were the conduct, acts and omissions of the other

Defendants, and at all relevant times, said co-Defendants, were then acting as the actual or ostensible agents of the remaining Defendants, and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive.

- 14. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants, and each of them, when acting as a principal, was negligent in the selection and hiring of each and every other co-Defendants as an employee, agent, servant and, expressly directed, consented to, approved, affirmed, and ratified each and every action taken by the co-Defendants, and each of them.
- 15. Plaintiff believes and alleges that the Defendants, and each of them, operated in such a way as to make their individual identities indistinguishable, and are, therefore, the mere alter egos of one another.
- 16. Plaintiff believes and alleges that DOES I through 150, inclusive, and each of them, through their managers, directors, officers, and other agents, directly oversaw, managed, and/or controlled all aspects of the operation and management of the remaining Defendants, including ,but not limited to, the budget, staffing, staff training, policy and procedures manuals, accounts payable, accounts receivable, facility development and leasing, general accounting, cash management, pricing, reimbursement, capitalization, and profit and loss margins and created budgets, policies, and procedures which their employees were required to implement and follow.
- 17. Plaintiff believes and alleges that DOES I through 150, inclusive, and each of them, through their managers, directors, officers, and other agents ratified all conduct of the employees, servants and agents of each of the remaining Defendants.
- 18. Each reference in this complaint to "defendant," "defendants," "Defendants," or a specifically named defendant also refers to all "Doe" defendants.
- 19. Plaintiff believes and alleges that the tortious acts and omissions of all Defendants, and each of them, were done in concert with each other and pursuant to a common design and agreement to accomplish a particular result, namely maximizing profits by discharging DECEDENT from hospice to Marin General Hospital. Moreover, said Defendants, and each of them, enabled, aided and abetted each other in accomplishing the acts and omissions alleged herein.

- 20. Plaintiff believes and alleges that at all times herein that the Defendants, and each of them, through their managers, directors, officers, and other agents, by their acts and omissions as alleged herein, operated pursuant to an agreement, with a common purpose and community of interest, with an equal right of control, and subject to participating in profits and losses, as further alleged herein, such that they operated a joint enterprise or joint venture, subjecting each of them to liability for the acts and omissions of each other.
- 21. At all times herein, Ms. Githens was an adult over the age of 65 and was an "elder" as that term is defined in Welfare & Institutions Code Section 15610.27. At all relevant times, all Defendants, and each of them, knew that Ms. Githens was an "elder."

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 22. Subject matter jurisdiction within the Unlimited Division of the Superior Court exists because the amount in dispute exceeds \$25,000.
- 23. Venue is proper because one or more of individual defendants resides within the County of Sonoma.

#### TOLLING OF LIMITATIONS

24. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Appendix I, Emergency Rules Related to COVID-19, Emergency Rule 9. Tolling of Statute of Limitations, "Notwithstanding any other law, the statutes of limitations and repose for civil causes of action that exceed 180 days are tolled from April 6, 2020, until October 1, 2020."

#### FACTS GIVING RISE TO THIS COMPLAINT

- That same date, Ms. Githens executed an Advanced Health Care Directive empowering Mr. Potts to make health care decisions for her. Attached as Exhibit A is a true copy of the March 1, 2018 Advanced Health Care Directive.
  - 26. The Advanced Health Care Directive provided in pertinent part:

#### 2.1 End-of-Life Decisions

I do not wish to artificially prolong the process of my dying if continued health care will not improve my prognosis for recovery and my death is likely to occur within several months, or if I require life support as

the result of an irreversible condition, even if that life support might prolong my life for a sustained period. Therefore, I do not want efforts made to prolong my life and I do not want life-sustaining treatment to be provided or continued: (1) if I am in an irreversible coma or persistent vegetative state; or (2) if I am terminally ill and the use of life-sustaining procedures would serve only to artificially delay the moment of my death; or (3) under any other circumstances in which the burdens of treatment outweigh the expected benefits.

#### 2.2 Relief from Pain and Palliative Care

I direct that treatment for alleviation of pain or discomfort be provided at all times, even if it hastens my death. I wish to receive any other forms of palliative care that may ease my suffering.

- 27. On January 9, 2018, Ms. Githens was admitted to Pine Ridge Care Center from Sutter Santa Rosa Regional Hospital. Upon admission, Pine Ridge Care Center noted the Directive "Do Not Resuscitate (DNR)." Upon admission, Pine Ridge Care Center further noted Mr. Potts as "Emergency contact resident representative responsible party Durable POA Health Care POA Health Care."
- 28. On April 4, 2018, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided in pertinent part: Called son, Michael Potts, and left voice mail to call back regarding mother's weight loss.
- 29. On April 8, 2018, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided in pertinent part: Resident [Ms. Githens] has significant weight loss related to oral intake.
- 30. On Wednesday, April 18, 2018 at 10:55am, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided in pertinent part: Resident [Ms. Githens] with significant weight loss related to oral intake and declining clinical condition. Resident's diet was recently downgraded to puree. Resident recently started on Remeron as an appetite stimulant. Weight loss is likely unavoidable due to condition.
- 31. On Wednesday, April 18, 2018 at 4:28pm, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided in pertinent part: Discussed with Dr. [Basil] Hamblin for a recommendation of an IV fluid therapy for extra supplements because Resident [Ms. Githens] continues with the decrease oral intake to refusal with meals. Per MD [Dr. Hamblin], he spoke with Michael Potts, the DPOA, and the DPOA verbalized that he only wants comfort

focused treatment. No IV fluids or tube feeding at this time. Just continue to offer and encourage oral fluid intake as tolerated.

- 32. On Thursday, April 19, 2018 at 7:37am, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided in pertinent part: Resident [Ms. Githens] in bed, alert and responsive. No shortness of breath and no signs and symptoms of discomfort noted. No facial grimacing noted. Fall risk equipment in place. On one on one supervision. Safety precaution in effect. Will continue to monitor.
- 33. On Thursday, April 19, 2018 at 9:39am, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided in pertinent part: With noted poor intake. Fluids offered as tolerated. Able to take meds. Denies pain. Able to follow simple commands. Kept clean and dry. Will continue to monitor.
- 34. On Thursday, April 19, 2018 at 12:40pm, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided in pertinent part: Resident [Ms. Githens] with noted poor intake. Encouraged and assisted with meals. Fluids offered as tolerated. Refused to drink meds. Offered three times. No respiratory distress noted. No complaints of pain. No signs and symptoms discomfort. Safety ensured. Will continue to monitor.
- 35. On Thursday, April 19, 2018 at 1:15pm, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided in pertinent part: Called and left a voicemail to Michael Potts DPOA at (707) 595-3464 to give the facility a call back for an update of the current status of the resident [Ms. Githens]. Resident continues with the decrease oral intake to refusal. Continue to offer fluid intake as tolerated. Res is afebrile. Will continue to monitor.
- 36. On Thursday, April 19, 2018 at 1:55pm, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided in pertinent part: Spoke with Dr. Hamblin regarding resident [Ms. Githens] current condition. MD ordered morphine Schedule II solution; 100 mg/5 mL 0.25 mL for pain. Every hour as needed. Noted and carried out. Called and left a voicemail to Michael Potts to give a call to the facility for an update regarding resident. Will continue to monitor.

- 37. On Thursday, April 19, 2018 at 3:00pm, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided in pertinent part: Had a care conference with the DPOA, Michael Potts, regarding plan of care. Speech Therapist had recommended for a possible GI endoscopy. Per son, it is a possibility that could happen. MD notified of the care conference. Will continue to monitor.
- 38. On Thursday, April 19, 2018 at 3:06pm, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided as follows: "Called Norcal Ambulance for Transport. Confirmed time of pickup. Will continue to monitor."
- 39. On Thursday, April 19, 2018, Dr. Hamblin entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided in pertinent part: Saw patient [Ms. Githens] on April 13, 2018 and clarified physician's orders on life sustaining treatment and advance directive and conference with son. Here for follow-up. Patient is refusing fluids and medications. She is deteriorating rapidly. Called Michael again today and discussed options: ER/hospital vs. comfort care. Michael stated going to ER would cause undue stress. I agree. Patient with clear "awareness" of her chronic medical conditions. Has refused 1:1 feeds. Patient will likely pass in next few days to week based on her current decline. Have made this clear to her son and he wants to appropriately focus on her comfort. Will start oral morphine sulfate as needed for shortness of breath or pain or distress. Have relayed care plan to nursing.
- 40. On Thursday, April 19, 2018 at 3:07pm, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided as follows: "Dr. Hamblin called the facility and spoke with Michael. Potts. Michael Potts verbalized his concerns that he does not want his mom to go through anything invasive and had requested to cancel the transportation and to not send her to the emergency room because according to the son 'this will just stress her out and I do not want her to be stress.' He said that he just wants her to continue current plan of care here at the facility. Michael Potts declined the recommendation for GI consult and also declined sending [Ms. Githens] to the emergency for further evaluation. Explained risks vs benefits. Still the son declined further recommendation.

- 41. On Thursday, April 19, 2018 at 5:51pm, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided in pertinent part: Safety ensured. Alert and responsive. No shortness of breath nor pain noted. Still with noted poor intake. Assisted and encouraged. Fluids offered. Supervision in place. Kept clean and dry.
- 42. On Thursday, April 19, 2018 at 10:05pm, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided in pertinent part: Refused due meds. Offered three times. Risk and benefits explained. Pharmacist and Dr. Hamblin aware. Fluids offered. Safety precaution in place. Will continue to monitor.
- 43. On Friday, April 20, 2018 at 8:00am, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided in pertinent part: Resident [Ms. Githens] was offered food and liquid per breakfast meal. Resident refuses even with encouragement from both nurse and Certified Nursing Assistant. Asked for any food preference but resident declines offer. 1:1 monitoring sitter is present at bed side and safety protocol measures are initiated.
- 44. On Friday, April 20, 2018 at 9:34am, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided in pertinent part: Clarification of order: purplish discoloration on left wrist: Monitor for signs and symptoms of infection, increase in size, signs and symptoms of skin breakdown every day for 21 days then re-assess. Noted and carried out. Plan of care updated.
- 45. On Friday, April 20, 2018 at 12:00pm, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided as follows: "Called the son and left a voicemail to update him for the current status of the resident [Ms. Githens]. Resident continues to refuse fluid intake. Continue to encourage resident and offer fluid intake as tolerated. MD made aware. Will continue to monitor."
- 46. On Friday, April 20, 2018 at 1:00pm, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided in pertinent part: Resident [Ms. Githens] was offered food and liquid per breakfast meal. Resident refuses even with encouragement from both nurse and Certified Nursing Assistant. Asked for any food preference but resident declines offer. 1:1 monitoring sitter is present at bed side and safety protocol measures are initiated VERIFIED COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF MICHAEL POTTS

  10 SONOMA SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. FOR DAMAGES AGAINST DEFENDANTS

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

- 47. On Friday, April 20, 2018 at 2:30pm, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided in pertinent part: Called and left a voicemail to Michael Potts regarding resident [Ms. Githens] being send to the emergency department for further evaluation. Unable to get a call back from son. Dispatcher is aware that no respond [sic] was gotten back from the son. MD made aware.
- 48. On Friday, April 20, 2018 at 3:04pm, Pine Ridge Care Center entered a progress note for Ms. Githens that provided in pertinent part: Called Marin General Hospital to give report that resident [Ms. Githens] was noted with decrease intake to refusal of oral intake. That a care conference was held 4/19/18 with the [interdisciplinary team] along with Michael Potts. Verbalized that the DPOA had declined to send resident [Ms. Githens] to the hospital to get further evaluated because per son "he does not want to have his mom go through any invasive treatment, and especially, to not put her in any stress." Also verbalized that staff has been trying to call him, but no respond is heard back from him today. MD is made aware.
- 49. On Friday, April 20, 2018, Mr. Potts never authorized Defendants, and each of them, to call for an ambulance to take Ms. Githens to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital, or any local emergency department, for further evaluation. Instead, on Thursday, April 19, 2018, Mr. Potts specifically refused to authorize Defendants, and each of them, to call for an ambulance to take Ms. Githens to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation. Mr. Potts never rescinded his refusal on April 19, 2018 to authorize Defendants, and each of them, to call for an ambulance to take Ms. Githens to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation.
- 50. On Friday, April 20, 2018, Mr. Potts never called 911 to request that Ms. Githens be taken to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation.
- 51. Mr. Potts is informed and believes and based on such information and belief alleges that on Friday, April 20, 2018, Defendants, and each of them, called for an ambulance to take Ms. Githens to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation.
- 52. Mr. Potts is informed and believes and based on such information and belief alleges that in the alternative, on Friday, April 20, 2018, Defendants, and each of them, directed **VERIFIED COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF MICHAEL POTTS** 11 SONOMA SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. FOR DAMAGES AGAINST DEFENDANTS

and/or instructed a presently unknown agent or employee of Defendant Pine Ridge Care Center to call for an ambulance to take Ms. Githens to Marin General Hospital for further evaluation.

- 53. Mr. Potts is informed and believes and based on such information and belief alleges that in the alternative, on or about Friday, April 20, 2018, Defendants, and each of them, learned that a presently unknown agent or employee of Defendant Pine Ridge Care Center called for an ambulance to take Ms. Githens to Marin General Hospital for further evaluation, and Defendants, and each of them, ratified the decision by a presently unknown agent or employee of Defendant Pine Ridge Care Center to call for an ambulance to take Ms. Githens to Marin General Hospital for further evaluation.
- 54. On April 20, 2018, (1) an ambulance unit arrived at Defendant Pine Ridge Care Center, (2) the members of the ambulance unit with the assistance of Defendants and each of them physically took custody of Ms. Githens, and (3) the ambulance transferred her to the to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation in direct contravention of the specific instructions given by Mr. Potts on April 19, 2018 to Defendants, and each of them, not to transfer Ms. Githens to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital or any local emergency department.
- 55. Mr. Potts is informed and believes and based on such information and belief alleges that on April 20, 2018, Defendants and each of them never informed the members of the ambulance unit that Mr. Potts (1) was authorized to act on behalf of Ms. Githens pursuant to her Advanced Health Care Directive; (2) that on April 19, 2018, Mr. Potts refused to authorize Defendants, and each of them, to call for an ambulance to take Ms. Githens to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation; and (3) Mr. Potts never rescinded his refusal on April 19, 2018 to authorize Defendants, and each of them, to call for an ambulance to take Ms. Githens to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation.
  - 56. Ms. Githens died on May 14, 2018.
- 57. Mr. Potts is informed and believes and based on such information and belief alleges that the transfer of Ms. Githens to Marin General Hospital on April 20, 2018 prolonged VERIFIED COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF MICHAEL POTTS

  12 SONOMA SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. FOR DAMAGES AGAINST DEFENDANTS

her physical pain and emotional suffering during the 24 days between April 20, 2018 and May 14, 2018 had she not been transferred to Marin General Hospital.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR VIOLATION OF UNIFORM HEALTH CARE DECISIONS ACT (Prob. Code, § 4742)

#### (As to All Defendants)

- 58. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs One through 57 above as if set forth in full.
- 59. At all relevant times, Defendants, and each of them, had a duty to comply with all health care decisions made by Mr. Potts, who was authorized to make health care decisions for his mother, Ms. Githens, to the same extent as if the health care decisions were made by Ms. Githens while she had capacity.
- 60. At all relevant times, Defendants, and each of them, never informed Mr. Potts that they were declining to comply with all health care decisions made by Mr. Potts, who was authorized to make health care decisions for his mother, Ms. Githens, to the same extent as if the health care decisions were made by Ms. Githens while she had capacity.
- 61. Instead, at all relevant times, Defendants, and each of them, intentionally failed to comply with the decision of Mr. Potts to refuse to authorize Defendants, and each of them, to call for an ambulance to take Ms. Githens to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation.
- 62. Further, on April 20, 2018, Defendants, and each of them, intentionally falsified, forged, concealed, defaced, or obliterated the Advanced Health Care Directive of Ms. Githens; namely, the authority granted to Mr. Potts to make health care decision on behalf of Ms. Githens; and the specific refusal of Mr. Potts to authorize Defendants, and each of them, to call for an ambulance to take Ms. Githens to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation at the time that Defendants, and each of them, called for an ambulance to take Ms. Githens to Marin General Hospital for further evaluation, or Defendants, and each of them, directed and/or instructed a presently unknown agent or employee of Defendant Pine Ridge Care

Center to call for an ambulance to take Ms. Githens to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR VIOLATION OF ELDER ABUSE AND DEPENDENT ADULT CIVIL PROTECTION ACT (Welf. & Inst. Code § 15657) (As to All Defendants)

- 63. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs One through 62 above as if set forth in full.
- 64. Defendants, and each of them, physically abused Ms. Githens by their willful and unlawful use of force when the members of the ambulance unit with the assistance of Defendants and each of them physically took custody of Ms. Githens and transferred Ms. Githens to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation.
  - 65. On April 20, 2018, Ms. Githens was 65 years of age or older.
- 66. At all relevant times, Ms. Githens was harmed by the actions and inactions of Defendants and each of them.
- 67. At all relevant times, the conduct of Defendants and each of them was a substantial factor in causing harm to Ms. Githens.
- 68. At all relevant times, Defendants and each of them acted with recklessness, oppression, fraud, or malice in physically abusing Ms. Githens.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATION (Civ.

#### Code, § 1710)

#### (As to All Defendants)

- 69. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs One through 68 above as if set forth in full.
- 70. On April 20, 2018, Defendants, and each of them, represented to the members of the ambulance unit that Mr. Potts had been to Defendant Pine Ridge Care Center earlier on April 20, 2018 to visit Ms. Githens and was concerned so he called 911 after he left and wanted to VERIFIED COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF MICHAEL POTTS

  14 SONOMA SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. FOR DAMAGES AGAINST DEFENDANTS

have Ms. Githens taken to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation.

- 71. The representation on April 20, 2018 by Defendants and each of them to the members of the ambulance unit that Mr. Potts had been to Defendant Pine Ridge Care Center earlier on April 20, 2018 to visit Ms. Githens and was concerned so he called 911 after he left and wanted to have Ms. Githens taken to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation was false.
- 72. On April 20, 2018, Defendants, and each of them, knew that the representation to the members of the ambulance unit that Mr. Potts had been to Defendant Pine Ridge Care Center earlier on April 20, 2018 to visit Ms. Githens and was concerned so he called 911 after he left and wanted to have Ms. Githens taken to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation was false at the time that Defendants and each of them made the representation; and Defendants and each of them made the representation recklessly and without regard for its truth.
- 73. On April 20, 2018, Defendants, and each of them, intended that the members of the ambulance unit rely on the representation by Defendants and each of them that Mr. Potts had been to Defendant Pine Ridge Care Center earlier on April 20, 2018 to visit Ms. Githens and was concerned so he called 911 after he left and wanted to have Ms. Githens taken to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation.
- 74. On April 20, 2018, the members of the ambulance unit reasonably relied on the representation by Defendants and each of them that Mr. Potts had been to Defendant Pine Ridge Care Center earlier on April 20, 2018 to visit Ms. Githens and was concerned so he called 911 after he left and wanted to have Ms. Githens taken to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation.
- 75. At all relevant times, Ms. Githens was harmed by the intentional misrepresentations of Defendants and each of them.
- 76. At all relevant times, Ms. Githens relied on Defendants and each of them to care for her and accurately uphold her Advanced Health Care Directive, including the interactions on VERIFIED COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF MICHAEL POTTS

  15 SONOMA SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. FOR DAMAGES AGAINST DEFENDANTS

April 20, 2018 of Defendants and each of them with the members of the ambulance unit and, as such; the reliance by Ms. Githens on the representation by Defendants and each of them that Mr. Potts had been to Defendant Pine Ridge Care Center earlier on April 20, 2018 to visit Ms. Githens and was concerned so he called 911 after he left and wanted to have Ms. Githens taken to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation was a substantial factor in causing her harm.

77. At all relevant times, Defendants and each of them acted with recklessness, oppression, fraud, or malice in intentionally misrepresenting facts regarding Ms. Githens.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT (Civ. Code, § 1710)

#### (As to All Defendants)

- 78. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs One through 77 above as if set forth in full.
- 79. Defendants and each of them and Ms. Githens were in a fiduciary relationship in that Defendant Pine Ridge Care Center was a Skilled Nursing Facility and, as such, Ms. Githens entrusted and relied on Defendants and each of them to care for her and accurately uphold her Advanced Health Care Directive; and Defendants and each of them intentionally failed to disclose to Ms. Githens on April 20, 2018 that they falsely told the members of the ambulance unit that Mr. Potts had been to Defendant Pine Ridge Care Center earlier on April 20, 2018 to visit Ms. Githens and was concerned so he called 911 after he left and wanted to have Ms. Githens taken to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation.
- 80. Defendants and each of them prevented Ms. Githens from discovering the false representations made by Defendants and each of them on April 20, 2018 to members of the ambulance unit.
- 81. Neither Ms. Githens nor Mr. Potts knew of the false representations made by Defendants and each of them on April 20, 2018 to the members of the ambulance unit.

- 82. Defendants and each of them intended to deceive Ms. Githens and/or Mr. Potts by concealing the false representations made by Defendants and each of them on April 20, 2018 to members of the ambulance unit.
- 83. Had Defendants and each of them disclosed to the members of the ambulance unit on April 20, 2018 that Mr. Potts never called 911 and requested that Ms. Githens be taken to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation, the members of the ambulance unit acting on behalf of Ms. Githens would have behaved differently.
- 84. At all relevant times, Ms. Githens was harmed by the fraudulent concealment of Defendants and each of them.
- 85. At all relevant times, Ms. Githens and Mr. Potts who was acting on behalf of Ms. Githens relied on Defendants and each of them to care for her and accurately uphold her Advanced Health Care Directive, including the interactions on April 20, 2018 of Defendants and each of them with the members of the ambulance unit and, as such; the fraudulent concealment by Defendants and each of them that Mr. Potts never had been to Defendant Pine Ridge Care Center on April 20, 2018 to visit Ms. Githens and never called 911 on April 20, 2018 and never wanted to have Ms. Githens taken to the Emergency Department of Marin General Hospital for further evaluation was a substantial factor in causing her harm.
- 86. At all relevant times, Defendants and each of them acted with recklessness, oppression, fraud, or malice in fraudulently concealing facts regarding Ms. Githens.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY (As to All Defendants)

- 87. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs One through 86 above as if set forth in full.
- 88. Defendants and each of them, owed a fiduciary duty to Ms. Githens, specifically upon her admission to Defendant Pine Ridge Care Center, which was a Skilled Nursing Facility, Defendant and each of them were obligated to care for her and accurately uphold her Advanced

Health Care Directive, including the interactions on April 20, 2018 of Defendants and each of them with the members of the ambulance unit.

- 89. At all relevant times, Defendants and each of them acted on behalf of Ms. Githens for purposes of providing for her care and accurately uphold her Advanced Health Care Directive, including the interactions on April 20, 2018 of Defendants and each of them with the members of the ambulance unit.
- 90. Defendants and each of them failed to act as a reasonably careful fiduciary would have acted under the same or similar circumstances.
- 91. At all relevant times, Ms. Githens was harmed by the actions and inactions of Defendants and each of them who were acting as her fiduciary.
- 92. At all relevant times, the conduct of Defendants and each of them was a substantial factor in causing harm to Ms. Githens.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE PER SE (Civ. Code, § 1714(a); Prob.

#### Code, § 4742)

#### (As to All Defendants)

- 93. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs One through 92 above as if set forth in full.
- 94. Defendants and each of them were negligent in that they violated Probate Code Section 4742.
- 95. At all relevant times, Ms. Githens was harmed by the negligence of Defendants and each of them.
- 96. At all relevant times, the negligence of Defendants and each of them was a substantial factor in causing harm to Ms. Githens.
  - WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

28

111

#### On All Causes of Action

- 16. For costs of this suit;
- For prejudgment interest as permitted by law, including in accordance with Civil 17. Code sections 3287, 3288 and 3291; and
  - For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper. 18.

Dated: July 30, 2020

GEARINGER LAW GROUP

BRIAN GEARINGER

Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL POTTS, Successor in interest of Donna Marie Githens,

deceased

#### VERIFICATION

I am a party to this action, and I have read the foregoing VERIFIED COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF MICHAEL POTTS FOR DAMAGES AGAINST DEFENDANTS SAN RAFAEL OPERATING COMPANY, LP, dba PINE RIDGE CARE CENTER, FERDINAND BUOT, JR., LINDA TAETZ, HENRY BRUMLEY, AND ADAN MELIJOY and know its contents. The matters stated in the VERIFIED COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF MICHAEL POTTS FOR DAMAGES AGAINST DEFENDANTS SAN RAFAEL OPERATING COMPANY, LP, dba PINE RIDGE CARE CENTER, FERDINAND BUOT, JR., LINDA TAETZ, HENRY BRUMLEY, AND ADAN MELIJOY are true based on my own personal knowledge, except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I am informed and believe that they are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above statements are true. Executed on July 30, 2020 in Santa Rosa, California

MICHAEL POTTS